

CONFERENCE MEMO

Cordova Rd GVEC Utility Meeting August 8, 2023 Page 1 of 3

CONFERENCE MEMO

Cordova Rd

PROJECT: SH 46 to SH 123 **DATE:** August 11, 2023

Seguin, TX

CONFERENCE LOCATION: Virtual – Microsoft Teams

Meeting

CONFERENCE DATE: August 8, 2023

PURPOSE OF MEETING: Utility Meeting

Deepa Elter – Pape-Dawson Steven Tate - Pape-Dawson

ATTENDEES: David Wilder - Pape-Dawson

Travis Engler - GVEC Matthew Allen - GVEC

FROM: Deepa Elter, P.E., CFM PROJECT NO.: 12775-00

cc: Attendees, File

DISCUSSION:

Below is an outline of the discussion and direction for the project listed above. Action items and/or question responses are shown in the bold and italic format.

- Scope
 - o Roadway widening from 2 lane to 4 lane with a raised center median
 - Shared use bath on both sides
 - o Acquire variable width ROW, 120' minimum
 - o Drainage improvements
- Huber Road Project
 - GVEC advised this is a City of Seguin project on Huber Rd from IH 10 to Cordova Rd with BGE as the consultant
 - o PD requested BGE POC information. GVEC will provide
- Specific Conflicts
 - o Conflicts at SH 46
 - After discussion, GVEC may follow new alignment at SH 46 dependent on the needs of developments in that area on the north side of Cordova Rd

Transportation | Water Resources | Land Development | Surveying | Environmental



Cordova Rd GVEC UtilityMeeting August 8, 2023 Page 2 of 3

Sheet 4

- GVEC advised there are 2 poles not shown in utility conflicts that will conflict at southeastern corner within proposed pavement of the new intersection at SH 46.
- PD advised that TxDOT may require facilities to follow proposed ROW corner clip northeastward, cross Cordova Rd, then travel back to SH 46 along proposed ROW corner clip. GVEC stated this is a critical line and realignment would require temporary bypass. GVEC may seek exception.
- Traffic signal plans will be provided at 60%

Easements

- GVEC advised STA 120+00 proposed ROW will be difficult to accommodate
- PD advised proposed ROW follows development lot lines on north side. Utilities will need to be within 3' of ROW. PD suggested potentially crossing over to the southern end and traveling eastward on the southern end until out of the area, then transition back to north side when convenient
- GVEC advised they are concerned about installing facilities with proposed space when factoring in drainage improvements
- PD advised there should be enough space on either side of the ditch GVEC requested 30% cross sections for review. PD to supply.
- GVEC advised they will inquire about this

o STA 157+74

- GVEC advised of concerns about congestion and expressed desire to keep facilities on private property aside from lines crossing Cordova Rd.
- PD advised the depth of lines crossing will need to be evaluated and if it is not deep enough, adjustment will be required.
- Conflict 190 (Sheet 17) Underground electric with riser pole is present

Substation

PD advised it has not yet been confirmed who will be responsible for road in front of substation.

Transportation | Water Resources | Land Development | Surveying | Environmental



Cordova Rd GVEC UtilityMeeting August 8, 2023 Page 3 of 3

- GVEC expressed concern that if land owner obtains ownership, it will be up to them to maintain it and potentially affect GVEC and LCRA's ability to utilitize it for substation access
- GVEC advised they have Underground fiber optics near STA 282+00 on old Cordova Rd that is not shown on layout
- PD advised they are communicating with TRG for additional investigation
- Conflict 311 GVEC advised unsure if it belongs to GVEC or LCRA and meant to investigate prior to meeting. They will follow up
- STA 280+00
 - GVEC advised there are 2 circuit feeders into vault at STA 274 that cross over from south side to north side
 - PD advised they will investigate design revision to avoid
- Sheet 26
 - GVEC advised facilities in this area are very expensive to relocate/replace.
 GVEC stated all vaults are designed to be traffic rated. However, GVEC prefers to be outside of the pavement as they are today. Having vault in pavement would require MOU with City to determine maintenance responsibilities.
 - PD advised TxDOT has a similar letting date for SH 123 project, but unsure if
 PS&E has started which could potentially affect utility coordination

END OF MEMO

P:\116\35\01\Meetings\220926_Utility Regroup Meeting\220926_SH35_Utility Regroup Meeting Minutes.docx

Transportation | Water Resources | Land Development | Surveying | Environmental