

## AGENDA

Cordova Rd - Seguin, TX

<b>MEETING TITLE:</b>	Zayo Conflict Meeting Agenda	DATE:	11/29/2023
ATTENDEES:	Steven Tate (PD), David Wilder (PD), Erica Keltner (F Seguin), Clay Forister (Guadalupe County), Eric Dav Fendley, Zayo), Jesse Rocha (Zayo), Russell Lytle (Zay	is (Cobb F	endley, Zayo), Cortland Hughes (Cobb

AGENDA TOPICS:	a) Zayo – Jesse Rocha, Russell Lytle, Bryan Lopez, John Coughlin			
1. Introduction and	Zayo – Jesse Rocha, Russell Lytle, Bryan Lopez, John Coughlin			
Project Team	Cobb Fendley (for Zayo) – Eric Davis, Cortland Hughes City of Seguin – Terri Ruckstuhl, Pablo Martinez Guadalupe County – Clay Forister			
	Pape-Dawson - Steven Tate, John Tyler, Erica Keltner, David Wilder			
2. Project Overview	Location and Limits			
2. Floject Overview	<ul> <li>Seguin, TX</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Cordova Rd from SH 46 to SH 123</li> </ul>			
	b) Scope:			
	<ul> <li>Widening Cordova Rd from 2 lanes to 4 lanes with raised median</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Realign Cordova Rd at SH 46</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Provide shared use path on both sides</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Drainage improvements throughout the project area</li> </ul>			
3. Schedule	a) 60% PS&E: 11/2023			
S. Schedule	b) 90% PS&E: 3/2024			
	100% PS&E: 7/2025			
	d) Letting Date: 11/2025			
4. ROW acquisitions	a) ROW is being acquired throughout project limits. Minimum ROW will be 120'.			

Transportation | Water Resources | Land Development | Surveying | Environmental

## AGENDA

Cordova Rd - Seguin, TX Page 2 of 3

5. Zayo		a) Overhead conflicts:			
		i.	Overhead conflicts run south on Barbarosa Rd to Cordova Rd at STA 177+00 and		
			continue east.		
		ii.	Conflict ID 525 (Sheet 12)		
research on available			a. Dependent on GVEC relocations, pole on primary line in conflict with		
slack —			pavement. Line to be adjusted with main line.		
desire to remain aerial		iii.	Conflict ID 386 (Sheets 12-13)		
desire to remain denai			a. On GVEC poles, GVEC poles conflict with TAC requirements, proposed		
			SUP, riprap, pavement, and ditch line.		
			b. Ditch line cut is 1.25'-3.5'.		
			c. TAC code requires poles to be offset within 3' from proposed ROW.		
			d. Poles conflict with pavement.		
		iv	Conflict ID 387 (Sheets 14-20)		
			a. On GVEC poles, GVEC poles conflicts with TAC requirements, proposed		
			SUP, riprap, pavement, and ditch line.		
			b. Ditch line cut is 1.25'-3.5'.		
			c. TAC code requires poles to be offset within 3' from proposed ROW.		
			d. Poles conflict with pavement.		
	b)	Und	erground conflicts:		
	~,	00	i. All underground fiber optics transition from overhead telecom to underground		
			via riser.		
			ii. Conflict ID 141 (Sheets 13-14)		
			a. FOC conflicts with TAC requirements, pavement, and ditch line.		
			b. FOC electronic depth is 4'-5' below existing grade. Ditch line cut is 3.5'		
			below existing grade. Minimal to no clearance.		
			c. FOC conflicts with TAC depth requirements		
			iii. Conflict ID 252 (Sheets 20-23)		
			a. FOC conflicts with TAC requirements, proposed pavement, and ditch line.		
			b. FOC electronic depth is 3' below existing grade under pavement near STA		
			259+00. Pavement at existing grade cut to 2' below existing grade.		
			Minimal to no clearance.		
			c. FOC electronic depth at ditch line is 3.5'-5'. Ditch line is 4.25' below		
			existing grade. No clearance.		
			d. FOC conflicts with TAC depth requirements.		
			iv. Conflict ID 457 (Sheets 25-26)		
			a. FOC conflicts with TAC requirements, proposed ditch line, and pavement.		
			b. FOC electronic depth is 3.5'-5' below existing grade. Ditch line is 3.5'-4'		
			below existing grade. Minimal to no clearance.		
			c. FOC conflicts with TAC depth requirements.		
			v. Conflict ID 520 (Sheet 26)		
			a. FOC conflicts with TAC requirements and proposed pavement.		
			b. FOC electronic depth is 3.5'-5' below existing grade. Pavement ditch cut		
			0.5' and pavement section cut is 2' below existing grade. Minimal to no		
SH 123 TxDOT culvert		$\rightarrow$	clearance.		
reconstruction			c. FOC conflicts with TAC depth requirements and line runs longitudinally		
			under median.		
	c)	All a	ppurtenance conflicts are connected to underground telecom lines called out		
	ς,		ve. Appurtenances conflict with proposed pavement and shared-use-path.		
		400	ter apparter and to be more than proposed purchant and shared use putting		



<b>AGENDA Cordova Rd - Seguin, TX</b> Page 3 of 3	-aerial -UG would like to stay aerial Construction duration estir	nate-1/5/24			
6. Schedule: Design and Construction timelines		ate any long lead time	Cobb Fendley coordination and design. ends at es for materials©onstruction		
7. Questions and Open Discussion	<ul> <li>viii. Relocate all utilities underground or aerial?</li> <li>a) Does Zayo concur with placement of existing lines?</li> <li>b) Does Zayo concur that all Zayo facilities are within the existing ROW?</li> <li>yes</li> </ul>				
ACTION ITEMS: Description	144 at SH 123 48 FOC South 48 FOC -Cordova				
	No facilities on Huber Rd				