

AGENDA

Cordova Rd - Seguin, TX

get zayo invited

pablo martinez

MEETING TITLE: Zayo Conflict Meeting Agenda

DATE: 11/29/2023

ATTENDEES: Steven Tate (PD), David Wilder (PD), Erica Keltner (PD), John Tyler (PD), Terri Ruckstuhl (City of Seguin), Clay Forister (Guadalupe County), Eric Davis (Cobb Fendley, Zayo), Cortland Hughes (Cobb Fendley, Zayo)

AGENDA TOPICS:

1. Introduction and Project Team	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Zayo – Eric Davis (Cobb Fendley), Cortland Hughes (Cobb Fendley) b) City of Seguin – Terri Ruckstuhl c) Guadalupe County – Clay Forister d) Pape-Dawson - Steven Tate, John Tyler, Erica Keltner, David Wilder
2. Project Overview	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Location and Limits <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Seguin, TX o Cordova Rd from SH 46 to SH 123 b) Scope: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Widening Cordova Rd from 2 lanes to 4 lanes with raised median o Realign Cordova Rd at SH 46 o Provide shared use path on both sides o Drainage improvements throughout the project area
3. Schedule	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) 60% PS&E: 11/2023 b) 90% PS&E: 3/2024 c) 100% PS&E: 7/2025 d) Letting Date: 11/2025
4. ROW acquisitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) ROW is being acquired throughout project limits. Minimum ROW will be 120’.

AGENDA

Cordova Rd - Seguin, TX

Page 2 of 3

Dependant on GVEC relocations,

<p>5. Zayo</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">a) Overhead conflicts:<ul style="list-style-type: none">i. Overhead conflicts run south on Barbarosa Rd to Cordova Rd at STA 177+00 and continue east.ii. Conflict ID 525 (Sheet 12)<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Pole on primary line in conflict with pavement. Line to be adjusted with main line.iii. Conflict ID 386 (Sheets 12-13)<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. On GVEC poles, GVEC poles conflict with TAC requirements, proposed SUP, riprap, pavement, and ditch line.b. Ditch line cut is 1.25'-3.5'.c. TAC code requires poles to be offset within 3' from proposed ROW.d. Poles conflict with pavement.iv. Conflict ID 387 (Sheets 14-20)<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. On GVEC poles, GVEC poles conflicts with TAC requirements, proposed SUP, riprap, pavement, and ditch line.b. Ditch line cut is 1.25'-3.5'.c. TAC code requires poles to be offset within 3' from proposed ROW.d. Poles conflict with pavement.b) Underground conflicts:<ul style="list-style-type: none">i. All underground fiber optics transition from overhead telecom to underground via riser.ii. Conflict ID 141 (Sheets 13-14)<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. FOC conflicts with TAC requirements, pavement, and ditch line.b. FOC electronic depth is 4'-5' below existing grade. Ditch line cut is 3.5' below existing grade. Minimal to no clearance.c. FOC conflicts with TAC depth requirementsiii. Conflict ID 252 (Sheets 20-23)<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. FOC conflicts with TAC requirements, proposed pavement, and ditch line.b. FOC electronic depth is 3' below existing grade under pavement near STA 259+00. Pavement at existing grade cut to 2' below existing grade. Minimal to no clearance.c. FOC electronic depth at ditch line is 3.5'-5'. Ditch line is 4.25' below existing grade. No clearance.d. FOC conflicts with TAC depth requirements.iv. Conflict ID 457 (Sheets 25-26)<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. FOC conflicts with TAC requirements, proposed ditch line, and pavement.b. FOC electronic depth is 3.5'-5' below existing grade. Ditch line is 3.5'-4' below existing grade. Minimal to no clearance.c. FOC conflicts with TAC depth requirements.v. Conflict ID 520 (Sheet 26)<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. FOC conflicts with TAC requirements and proposed pavement.b. FOC electronic depth is 3.5'-5' below existing grade. Pavement ditch cut 0.5' and pavement section cut is 2' below existing grade. Minimal to no clearance.c. FOC conflicts with TAC depth requirements and line runs longitudinally under median.c) All appurtenance conflicts are connected to underground telecom lines called out above. Appurtenances conflict with proposed pavement and shared-use-path.
----------------	---

AGENDA

Cordova Rd - Seguin, TX

Page 3 of 3

6. Schedule: Design and Construction timelines	a) Timeline v. Design/Permitting Timeline vi. Consultant for design? vii. Construction duration? a. Does Zayo anticipate any long lead times for materials? viii. Relocate all utilities underground or aerial?
7. Questions and Open Discussion	a) Does Zayo concur with placement of existing lines? b) Does Zayo concur that all Zayo facilities are within the existing ROW?
ACTION ITEMS: Description	